Owner: City of Greenwood
(NAME OF OWNER)

Contract: Lind Creek Dam Decommissioning
(TITLE OF CONTRACT)

Reference No. CP2026-01-RFP
(OWNER'S CONTRACT REFERENCE NO.)

To All Proponents: Date: May 29th, 2026

This addendum shall form part of the original documents for the above noted Contract and all other segments of the Contract shall remain in force except as noted below:

1. – RFP Questions Received up to May 29th, 2026

11 – Q: **Clearing & grubbing — disposal vs. on-site stockpile (conflict in Ecora Plan).**
The Ecora Decommissioning Plan contains contradictory instructions on disposal of cleared material:

- 5.9 Construction Methodology, step 6 (p. 29): *"Large woody debris is to be stockpiled onsite for reuse in environmental restoration."*
- Appendix C – Construction Supervision Plan, §7 Clearing and Grubbing (App C p. 2): *"All cleared material is to be disposed of offsite."*

Please confirm which governs. If on-site stockpile applies, please also confirm:

- Acceptable stockpile location(s) and any size or treatment requirements
- Handling of root balls and stumps from access-road grubbing
- Whether merchantable timber recovered under the License to Cut remains on site or is removed by the Owner

11 – A: The statement in Section 5.9 "Construction Methodology" regarding stockpiling of large woody debris for environmental restoration shall govern for suitable woody material. Accordingly:

- Large woody debris deemed suitable for environmental restoration may be stockpiled onsite for reuse in environmental restoration and rehabilitation works, subject to approval by the Owner's Representative and environmental monitor/QEP.
- Cleared material not suitable for environmental restoration, including unsuitable organics, debris, and waste material, shall be disposed of offsite in accordance with applicable regulations.
- Root balls and stumps generated from access-road grubbing may be disposed of offsite unless otherwise approved for onsite stabilization or restoration purposes.
- Merchantable timber recovered under the Licence to Cut shall remain the property of the Owner unless otherwise directed by the Owner.

13 – Q: What geotechnical assessments must be performed to increase the drawdown rate? Is this a service Ecora could provide? Or should we just assume 0.1m/day for drawdown.

13 – A: If the Proponent is to increase the drawdown rate beyond 0.1 m/day, a geotechnical assessment will be required. Due to a conflict of interest, Ecora cannot be retained by the Contractor to complete this work.

The drawdown rate referenced in the Ecora report is intended as a commonly used planning-level

assumption from Alonso & Pinyol (2009) and was used primarily to estimate an approximate reservoir drawdown duration. A detailed geotechnical drawdown assessment was not completed as part of the current scope due to limited background information regarding dam materials and subsurface conditions.

The drawdown rate referenced in the Ecora report is intended for environmental and construction sequencing considerations only and is not intended to prescribe the Contractor's final means and methods.

The Contractor shall be responsible for developing and implementing an appropriate drawdown, diversion, isolation, and dewatering approach that:

- complies with applicable permits and regulatory requirements;
- avoids fish stranding and minimizes impacts to aquatic habitat;
- maintains downstream flows as required;
- considers site-specific construction methodology and sequencing; and
- is acceptable to the Owner's Representative and environmental monitor/QEP.

The Contractor should anticipate that fish salvage, environmental monitoring, and adaptive management measures may be required during drawdown and diversion activities.
If a faster drawdown rate is proposed, additional geotechnical assessment would likely be required to evaluate slope stability and establish an acceptable maximum safe drawdown rate. This could include geotechnical investigations, material characterization, seepage considerations, and rapid drawdown stability analysis. Such services could potentially be provided under an additional scope if requested.

For tendering purposes, proponents may reasonably assume approximately 0.1 m/day drawdown unless the Contractor proposes an alternate approach supported by appropriate engineering assessment and acceptable to the Owner and regulators.
The drawdown and dewatering activities shall be planned and executed in a manner that avoids fish stranding and minimizes impacts to aquatic habitat.
The Contractor shall consider diversion and isolation methodologies carefully and shall comply with all permit conditions and environmental requirements.

Environmental considerations include, but are not limited to:

- isolation of the wetland/work area from the main channel prior to drawdown where required;
- fish and/or amphibian salvage completed by qualified environmental professionals;
- maintenance of downstream flows during construction;
- monitoring during drawdown and diversion activities;
- management of potential re-entry of fish into isolated areas; and
- additional maintenance and monitoring requirements associated with prolonged diversions.

The duration and extent of salvage activities will depend on site conditions, aquatic species presence, and effectiveness of isolation measures implemented by the Contractor.
For preliminary scheduling purposes, proponents may assume approximately 1 day to 1 week for isolation and aquatic life salvage activities, depending on site conditions, fish presence, diversion effectiveness, and regulatory requirements."

14 – Q: Can you please confirm whether the closing date and time have been revised? BC Bid currently lists May 29, while the Addendum indicates June 9.

14 – A: The closing time is 4:00pm PST, June 9th, 2026. BC Bid to be updated.

15 – Q: Can you confirm whether the deadline for submitting questions.

15 – A: Enquiries must be received no later than June 2, 2026 @ 5:00pm.

16 – Q: Will the City accept an electronic submission?

16 – A: No.

17 – Q: Who is responsible for the site's environmental restoration? Ecora or the contractor? If the contractor, where is the environmental restoration plan?

17 – A: The Contractor shall be responsible for environmental restoration associated with the Work, including implementation of erosion and sediment control measures, disturbed area stabilization, and environmental reinstatement in accordance with the Contract Documents, permit requirements, and the Contractor's CEMP.

Environmental restoration requirements are generally described within the environmental management and construction supervision sections of the Contract Documents. The Contractor shall prepare and implement site-specific methodologies as required to satisfy regulatory and environmental requirements.

All Proponents shall acknowledge receipt of Addendum #2 (3 pages) by signing in the space provided and submitting this signed addendum with the tender. Proposals submitted without acknowledgment of this addendum may be considered incomplete.

Receipt acknowledged and conditions agreed _____ day of _____, 2026

_____ _____

Tenderer Signature

END ADDENDUM #2